IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3543 /2005

PETITIONER

Maruti Nandan Prathmic Upbhokta Sahkari Bhandar Limited Tatpatti Colony Ward No. 21 Caomp Bhilai, Distt. Durg (C.G.) Through - Its President Uday Shankar Yadava Son of Shri Shrinath Yadava, aged about 38 years, resident of Tatpatti Colony Ward No. 21 Camp -1, Bhilai Distt. Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3631/05 Presented by Shri A.K. Pandey dated 29/7/05

RECEIVED COPY 24.7.05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through - the Principal Secretary, Office of the Chief Minister, State of Chhattisgarh, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur (CG)

2. The State of Chhattisgarh, through - the Secretary, Department of Civil Supplies, Mantralay D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

3. Controller of Food, Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh)

शपथ आयुक्त एस.के. शुक्ला बिलासपुर (छ.ग.) बिलासपुर तहसील क्षेत्र

(2)

4

4. Collector (Food), Distt. Durg
(Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 3543/05 **सन्** **200**

26

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	5-8-2005	
1	Shri Anil Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents. Heard both the counsel. The main grievance of the petitioner in this petition is that the petitioner applied for allotment of the fair price shop and thereafter the petitioner made a representation even after decision is not being taken. It is not a case where the respondents have taken a decision and that is being challenged. So far, applications are under consideration of respondent No.4 and pending decision. Shri Dubey, learned counsel for respondents submitted that the policy in question has already been challenged before this Court, the matter is pending before the Hon'ble Division Bench for decision and in that, stay has already been granted by this Court, and in view of that, decision is not being taken. In view of the above fact, the writ petition is premature and the same is dismissed. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Barve		

(पीछे देखिये)

10.8.05 14.8.05